

JTF-5



- Would be more useful if good bring in all analysts (from a security viewpoint)

- Primary use: Individuals in eastern Pacific, dynamics of organizations (Pakistan, Afghanistan)

Aligned by SW-Asia, SE Asia re heron.

[Also

- AL came out at briefed (w/ Mickey), reinvigorated interest.

- Think its a legitimate alternate source of information.

- Asked each lead analyst to structure requests for RV. Then evaluate inputs.

- Dropped earlier because no feedback mechanism had existed & believed that frequently no response was received from program. Frequent interaction ~~but~~ between Russ & AL helped to keep program alive.

- Tasking - re people, objects (slugs),

some kind of specific areas
Very specific targets

(2)

- PV reports fairly consistent in identifying the nature of the target, i.e., person or thing, but not always.
- After some testing, to develop confidence in process - would feed info into general intelligence.

SG1J

[] was aware of Soviet activities - not as skeptical as others, so needed to develop some confidence with his analysts.

- provided specifics in some instances re "associates", "activities".
- did not task field collection due to security ~~set~~ restrictions on program
- could not use info in real-time sense for operational purposes; because:
 - not timely enough
- lost info when asking for historical info (in terms of accuracy), time sensitive requests not so good.
- used info to fill in blanks from other sources - try to sort out wheat from chaff in terms of re chug order with drug organizations

(3)

SG1J

SG1J

- ~~analysts~~ ~~to be~~ ~~about~~ ~~program~~ ~~to~~ ~~evaluate~~ ~~info~~, ignore personal beliefs and be objective
- did some of evaluations but some about 6 people did evals - All evals done by a specific individual, not by a group.
- ~~then~~ If got ^{into} corresponding of ~~into~~ to RV data, would ask odd questions of RV - but usually add info was not corroborated.
- Cited a case of info provided by RV on an individual in Pakistan was corroborated as very accurate.
- Use info as an "alternative" source - ~~rely~~ relied on only when it was only source of info
- did not task anything ^{as result of solo-RV info,} needs much evidence of credibility to do these.
- all reports had some accurate info, e.g., but seems obvious info (knowing the source of the question) was considered accurate seemed

SG1J

to assume that RV knew who was doing talking know that RV knew who was doing talking

- Data made contributions to "target packages" - but no real audit trail of value ^{or contribution} of the data. No attempt ever made to do a post correlation of data provided versus other
- Would like to see program continued, more formalized.
- ~~#~~ Afraid that direct involvement of PW would ~~lose~~ lose their objectivity.
- To integrate into org they would have to be legitimized ^{in reputable} in some way otherwise they ~~would~~ would be made fun of, etc.
- Would be willing to pay for PW info source like, e.g.,

- would be willing to pay for PW info
if had to give up source like, e.g.,

had to give up... that cell live up
09/16/04 CA-RD-96-00791 R000200190004-3
the ~~live~~ live would be difficult due
to discussion above.

